

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,981	02/08/2002	Susumu Ohsawa	041514-5243	9923
9629	7590 06/01/2005		EXAM	INER
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			MEEK, JACOB M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004		· · ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2637	···

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>· </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/067,981	OHSAWA, SUSUMU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
T. MAN ING DATE 44	Jacob Meek	2637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Fe	ebruary 0208.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 April 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
• .					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/067,981

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cvetkovic et al (US-6,236,844)...

With regard to claim 1, Cvetkovic discloses a receiver comprising: at least 2 antennas (see figure 2, 12, 13); signal amplifiers for amplifying signals that have been received respectively by at least 2 antennas (see figure 2, 18 and 19); a signal superposing part for superposing signals that have been amplified (see figure 2, 20), a demodulating / reproducing for demodulating output of signal superposing part (see figure 2, 21) and reproducing reception information included in broadcast waves (see figure 2, 23); and a control part for performing a control operation to reduce a numbers of signals in output signal when reproduction quality of the reception information has deteriorated (see figure 2, 22 and column 2, lines 58 – 67 where SS1 and SS2 signals perform this function).

With regard to claim 2, Cvetkovic discloses a receiver comprising: at least 2 antennas for receiving broadcast waves (see figure 2, 12, 13 and column 1, line 61 – column 2, line 14); signal amplifiers for amplifying signals that have been received respectively by at least 2 antennas (see figure 2, 18 and 19); a signal superposing part for superposing signals that

Art Unit: 2637

have been amplified (see figure 2, 20), a demodulating / reproducing for demodulating output of signal superposing part (see figure 2, 21) and reproducing reception information included in broadcast waves (see figure 2, 23); and a control part for performing a control operation to exclude signals with high noise level that have been output by signal amplifiers from output signal when reproduction quality of the reception information has deteriorated (see figure 2, 22 and column 3, line 60 – column 4, line 13 where this is interpreted as equivalent).

With regard to claim 3, Cvetkovic discloses a receiver comprising: at least 2 antennas for receiving broadcast waves from a plurality of broadcasting systems (see figure 2, 12, 13 and column 1, line 61 – column 2, line 14 where FM signals are known to be broadcast from a variety of sources); signal amplifiers for amplifying signals that have been received respectively by at least 2 antennas (see figure 2, 18 and 19); a signal superposing part for superposing signals that have been amplified (see figure 2, 20), a demodulating / reproducing for demodulating output of signal superposing part (see figure 2, 21) and reproducing reception information included in broadcast waves (see figure 2, 23); and a control part for performing a control operation to exclude at least one signal from 2nd group of broadcast signals from output signal when reproduction quality of the reception information has deteriorated (see figure 2, 22 and column 2, lines 44 – 57 where this tuning operation is interpreted as equivalent).

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cvetkovic et al (US-6,141,536).

With regard to claim 4, Cvetkovic discloses a receiver comprising: at least 2 antennas for receiving broadcast waves for a program having a common content from a plurality of broadcasting systems (see figure 1, 14, 15 where FM signals are known to be broadcast from a variety of sources); signal amplifiers for amplifying signals that have been received

Art Unit: 2637

respectively by at least 2 antennas (see figure 1, 20 and 22); a signal superposing part for superposing signals that have been amplified (see figure 1, 21), a demodulating / reproducing for demodulating output of signal superposing part (see figure 1, 24) and reproducing reception information included in broadcast waves (see figure 1, 26); and a control part for performing a control operation to exclude at least one of (i) signals with a high noise level that have been output by signal amplifier (see figure 1, 17, 23) and (ii) signals output by signal amplifiers whose signal quality has deteriorated from output signal when reproduction quality of reception data has deteriorated (see column 1, line 63 – column 2, line 23).

Other Cited Prior Art

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Seshadri (US-5479448), Akaiwa (US-5710995), Inamori (US-6070064), Miyahara (US-6449469), Whikehart (US-6470186), and Nokes (US-6792258) all disclose variation of diverse reception receivers.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Meek whose telephone number is (571)272-3013. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571)272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,981

Art Unit: 2637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMM Jan an

JAY K. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5